Introduction. Eco-friendly disposal of food waste, in particular, nutshells and fruit kernels, is an important issue to ensure sustainable nature management. These secondary raw materials are the source of valuable polymeric materials, cellulose and lignin.

Problem Statement. Given the capacity of the food industry in Ukraine and the amount of waste produced, the development of technologies for processing lignin-cellulose biomass is an important research and practical issue.

Purpose. The purpose of this research is to study the adsorption properties of chemically modified biosorbent based on plant materials concerning synthetic dyes of different types and classes; to assess the feasibility of biosorbent production and efficiency of its application in water treatment.

Materials and Methods. Lignocellulose sorbent (LCS) has been synthesized from non-wood raw materials by chemical modification with the use of phosphoric acid with the addition of urea in an aqueous medium. The Fourier transform infrared and standard methods of plant raw material analysis have been used to determine the physicochemical characteristics of LCS. The adsorption of anionic (methyl orange, alizarin red S, eosin Y), cationic (methylene blue, neutral red), and nonionic (aniline yellow) dyes on LCS from aqueous solution has been studied in the batch mode.

Results. The adsorption capacity of LCS towards cationic dyes (47.0–53.3 mg/g) is higher than that of anionic (22.2–36.9 mg/g) and nonionic (4.7 mg/g) ones. The adsorption kinetics have been adequately described by a pseudo-second-order equation. Adsorption of all classes of dyes on LCS is thermodynamically feasible, spontaneous, and endothermic process. The liquid by-product of LCS production contains 15% nitrogen and 10% phosphorus, so it may be used as a fertilizer.
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Conclusions. The proposed method for processing food waste provides obtaining effective sorbent and liquid NP-fertilizer. LCS removes both cationic and anionic pollutants from water, so it may be considered a promising biosorbent for water purification.
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According to the principles of “sustainable production” and “green chemistry”, walnut shell (WS) and apricot kernel stones (AS), as widespread agricultural waste, are considered promising sources of qualitative lignocellulosic feedstock [1; 2]. Searching for methods of chemical modification of this feedstock to obtain materials with improved sorption characteristics, as an alternative to industrial sorbents, is of particular research interest. In the future, these materials could become an alternative to industrial sorbents, such as zeolites and activated carbon, which are quite expensive [3]. The most promising areas of application of this non-wood lignocellulosic waste are metal ions and dyestuff removal from aqueous solutions; production of pharmaceuticals and biologically active food additives [4; 5].

Due to availability and low cost [6], the use of renewable lignocellulosic materials as sorbents is of growing interest. Nevertheless, these wastes don’t have the required exchange capacity, removal selectivity, and characterized by relatively low sorption capacity [7]. Therefore, before they are utilized as sorbents, preliminary modification is required. That involves the latest achievements in biotechnology, nanotechnology, etc. [8].

One of the most rational methods in the development of effective lignocellulosic sorbents (LCS) is a chemical modification of natural cellulose-containing materials [9]. Such treatment leads to immobilization of active centers, increasing sorption capacity, selectivity and reduces process longevity. In this direction, some interesting techniques have already been proposed [7; 9—11]. There are some extensive reviews [12; 13] available on this topic.

By now, a great number of studies focused on such lignocellulosic waste as an almond shell [14], corn silk [15], tea waste, orange peel [16], corn stalks [17], corn cob, and sunflower seed shell [18] modified by various chemical reagents, as well as raw feedstock, proved that these materials are capable of removing various classes of dyes from water.

A well-known method for studying adsorption properties of lignocellulosic materials is the adsorption from aqueous solutions, wherein the synthetic dyes are used as adsorbate [19; 20]. To assess the feasibility of using LCS for water treating, adsorption of methylene blue onto WS [1; 21; 22] and AS [23] have already been studied. By now, several extensive reviews concerning the use of low-cost adsorbents based on the agricultural waste for dye removal from water have been published [24—26]. The reviews have indicated that the use of the untreated plant waste usually does not ensure the desired effect in removing dyes. Modification of WS by organic surfactants (epichlorohydrin, diethylenetriamine, aspartic acid) dramatically increases adsorption capacity towards dyes, but such treatment is rather expensive and produces a harmful effluent [9; 27].

Present work focused on the development of new types of LCS from non-wood feedstock (WS and AS) via eco-friendly chemical modification. Initial assessment of LCS physicochemical properties was carried through the adsorption of dyes from model aqueous solution. The following types of synthetic dyes were studied: anionic (Methyl orange, Alizarin red S, Eosin Y), cationic (Methylene blue, Neutral red), and non-ionic (Aniline yellow). The influence of contact time and equilibrium dye concentration on LCS adsorption capacity was studied. The mechanism of dyestuff adsorption was established from isotherm, kinetic and thermodynamic studies. The main purpose of this research was to provide an inexpensive and effective green sorbent for water treatment.

Materials and reagents. Pristine WS (Juglans Regia L.) and AS (Prunus Armeniaca L.) were supplied by the Odeskiy Oliynoekstraktsiyniy Zavod.
(Odesa, Ukraine). The mix of the raw feedstock was preliminary air-dried, then crushed and sized. The particles between 0.8 and 1.6 mm were chosen for further studies.

Commercial dyes Methyl orange (MO), Alizarin red S (AR), Eosin Y (EY), Methylene blue (MB), Neutral red (NR), and Aniline yellow (AY) were of analytical grade. The chemical properties of the dyes are given in Table 1 [28].

The model solutions of dyes were prepared by the solvation of the appropriate weight of dry reagent in distilled water. Phosphoric acid, hydrochloric acid, sodium hydroxide, and carbamide (urea) were of analytical grade. The preparation of modified sorbent. To obtain a modified lignocellulosic sorbent (LCS) a certain weight of the raw material (100 g) was treated with 250 ml of a 25% NH₄OH solution at a temperature of 25 °C for 4 hours. After that, the sample was separated from the ammonia and washed in distilled water to achieve a pH of 7.0—7.5. Then the sample was treated by activating solution, which consisted of 20% H₃PO₄, 40% CO(NH₂)₂, and 40% H₂O. The volume of activating solution added was 300 ml at a temperature of 25 °C. Activation lasted 1 hour under vigorous agitation by a magnetic stirrer. Then the solid phase was separated and heated in an electric oven for 3 hours at

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commercial name</th>
<th>Class (series)</th>
<th>Molecular formula</th>
<th>Empirical formula</th>
<th>Molar mass, g/mol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aniline yellow</td>
<td>Non-ionic basic (monoazo)</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>C₁₂H₁₁N₃</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral red</td>
<td>Cationic basic (azine)</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₇N₄Cl</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylene blue</td>
<td>Cationic basic (thiazine)</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>C₁₆H₁₇N₃SCl</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl orange</td>
<td>Anionic acid (monoazo)</td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>C₁₄H₁₄O₃SNa</td>
<td>327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alizarin red S</td>
<td>Anionic acid (anthraquinone)</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>C₁₄H₇O₇SNa</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosin Y</td>
<td>Anionic acid (xanthene)</td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>C₂₆H₆O₂Na₂Br₄</td>
<td>692</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
a temperature of 130 °C. Afterward, the hot sample was placed in distilled water with a temperature of 20—30 °C and was kept in it for 1 hour. Then it was washed off with deionized water and conditioned in distilled water for 6 days. After that, LCS was dried at 60 °C and stored in a desiccator.

**Analytic methods.** The main chemical components of feedstock and modified sorbent (lignin, hemicellulose, organic extractives, ash, and moisture) were analyzed according to the Technical Association of Pulp and Paper Industry standards that have been previously described [29; 30].

The concentration of dyes was measured with the use of a spectrophotometer UV-1100 at the following wavelengths, nm: EY—517; AR—423; MO—460; AY—386; MB—665; NR—529. The surface functional groups and structure of raw material and LCS were studied with the use of a Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR). FTIR spectra were recorded on a Magna 560, Nicolet, Thermo Electron Corp., in the range of 400—4000 cm⁻¹ with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. The dried samples were grounded and blended with KBr before being pressed into pellets.

**Adsorption experiments.** Batch adsorption experiments were conducted in a set of conical flasks containing 1.0 g of LCS and 100 ml of dye solution of appropriate concentration (50, 100, 250, 500, 750, and 1500 mg/l) at a pH = 7. The pH was adjusted with the use of 0.1 M HCl or 0.1 M NaOH. The flasks were agitated at 150 rpm with the use of a temperature-controlled water bath with a shaker and maintained at constant for 24 h until the equilibrium was reached. Afterward, the dyestuff concentration in the supernatant solution was determined spectrophotometrically.

The amount of adsorbed dye at equilibrium, \( q_e \), mg/g, and at time \( t \), \( q_t \), mg/g, were calculated by equations (1)—(2) [31]:

\[
q_e = \frac{C_0 - C_e}{m} \cdot V, \tag{1}
\]

\[
q_t = \frac{C_0 - C_t}{m} \cdot V, \tag{2}
\]

where \( C_0 \), \( C_e \), \( C_t \) is the initial, equilibrium, and dye concentration at a certain time, respectively, mg/l, \( m \) is the dry adsorbent mass, g, and \( V \) is the volume of the dye solution, l.

All adsorption tests were performed with three replicates and the mean values were used for subsequent analysis.

**Adsorption isotherms.** Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin, and Dubinin-Radushkevich (D-R) isotherm models were used to examine the relationship between the amount of adsorbed dye and its equilibrium concentration. The linear forms of the abovementioned isotherms are given by Eqs. (3)—(6) [32]:

\[
\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{q_m K_L} + \frac{C_e}{q_m}, \tag{3}
\]

\[
\log q_e = \log K_F + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e, \tag{4}
\]

\[
q_e = \frac{RT}{b_T} \ln A_T + \frac{RT}{b_T} \ln C_e, \tag{5}
\]

\[
q_e = q_s \exp (-B \varepsilon^2), \tag{6}
\]

where \( q_e \) is the equilibrium dye concentration on the adsorbent (mg/g), \( C_e \) the equilibrium dye concentration in the solution (mg/l), \( q_m \) the monolayer adsorption capacity of the adsorbent (mg/g), \( K_L \) is the Langmuir adsorption constant (l/mg), \( K_F \) (mg/g) and \( n \) are Freundlich constants, \( b_T \) (J/mol) and \( A_T \) (l/g) are Temkin constants related to the heat of sorption and equilibrium binding constant, respectively, \( q_s \) is the D-R monolayer capacity (mg/g), \( B \) is a constant related to sorption energy, \( \varepsilon \) is the Polanyi potential which is related to the equilibrium concentration as \( \varepsilon = RT \ln [1+1/C_e] \), \( R \) is the universal gas constant, \( T \) is the absolute temperature (K). The constant \( B \) (mol²/J²) gives the mean free energy of sorption per molecule of the sorbate when it is transferred to the surface of the solid from infinity in the solution and was calculated from the relationship \( E = 1/(2B)^{0.5} \).

According to the Langmuir isotherm, a dimensionless equilibrium parameter \( R_L \) (separation factor) is defined by Eq. (7) [33]:

\[
R_L = \frac{1}{1 + K_L C_0}, \tag{7}
\]

where \( K_L \) is the Langmuir constant and \( C_0 \) is the initial dye concentration (mg/l). The value of \( R_L \)
indicates the type of the isotherm to be either unfavourable \((R_L > 1)\), linear \((R_I = 1)\), favourable \((0 < R_L < 1)\) or irreversible \((R_I = 0)\) [32; 33].

**Adsorption kinetics.** Kinetic experiments were conducted by contacting 1.0 g LCS with 100 ml of dye solution (1 mmol/l) at 278, 298, 323 K, and at pH = 7 for 6 h of continuous shaking. The concentration of dye in the supernatant was determined at different time intervals.

The kinetics of dyestuff adsorption onto LCS was studied with the use of five common models: pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order, intra-particle diffusion, film diffusion, and Elovich model, expressed by Eqs. (8)—(12) [34]:

\[
\ln (q_e - q_t) = \ln q_e - k_1 t, \quad (8)
\]

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{K_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e}, \quad (9)
\]

\[
q_t = k_3 t^{0.5} + C^*, \quad (10)
\]

\[
B = -0.4977 - \ln (1 - q_t/q_e), \quad (11)
\]

\[
q_t = \frac{1}{\beta} \ln (a\beta) + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln t, \quad (12)
\]

where \(q_e\) is the amount of concentration of adsorbate at equilibrium, mg/g, \(q_t\) is the amount of dye solute adsorb per unit weight of LCS at time \(t\), \(mg/g, k_1\) is the rate constant, 1/min, \(k_2, g/(mg \cdot min)\) is the second-order rate coefficient, \(k_3\) is the intra-particle diffusion rate constant mg/(g · min<sup>0.5</sup>), \(C^*, mg/g\) represents the thickness of the boundary layer, \(q_t/q_e\) represents the fraction of adsorbate adsorbed at different time, \(B\) is a mathematical function of \(q_t/q_e\), \(a\) and \(\beta\) are the Elovich coefficients, where \(a\) represents the initial adsorption rate mg/(g·min) and \(\beta\) is related to the extent of surface coverage and activation energy for chemisorption, g/mg.

**Thermodynamics studies.** Thermodynamic parameters (Gibbs free energy \(\Delta G^0\), enthalpy \(\Delta H^0\), and entropy \(\Delta S^0\)) of dyestuff adsorption onto LCS at different temperatures (278, 298, and 323 K) were calculated from the equations (13)—(15) [35]:

\[
\Delta G^0 = -RT \ln \frac{q_e}{C_e}, \quad (13)
\]

\[
\ln \frac{q_e}{C_e} = -\frac{\Delta H^0}{RT} + \frac{\Delta S^0}{R}, \quad (14)
\]

\[
\Delta G^0 = \Delta H^0 - T\Delta S^0. \quad (15)
\]

In this study, the values of the Langmuir constant \(K_L\) (expressed in l/mol) were used as equilibrium constants to calculate thermodynamic parameters of dye sorption by LCS.

The isosteric heat of sorption (\(\Delta H_x\)) is defined as the energy difference between the state of the system before and after the sorption of a differential amount of sorbate on the sorbent surface. Values of \(\Delta H_x\) below 80 kJ/mol indicate physical sorption, and values of \(\Delta H_x\) between 80 and 400 kJ/mol suggest chemical sorption [18]. The isosteric heat of sorption was estimated with the use of the equation:

\[
\frac{d (\ln C_e)}{d (1/T)} = -\frac{\Delta H_x}{R}, \quad (16)
\]

where \(C_e\) is the equilibrium concentration of sorbate in solution (mg/l) at constant surface loading, \(R\) is the universal gas constant and \(T\) is the temperature (K). Values of \(\Delta H_x\) obtained from the slope of the plots of \(\ln C_e\) versus \(1/T\) for each dye (not shown).

**Error analysis.** To compare the adequacy and accuracy of the model’s equations with experimental data, error analysis was carried out. Linear regression coefficient \(R^2\) (the determination coefficient) and nonlinear error function — the Chi-square test, \(\chi^2\), were used. The standard equations of these coefficients are as follows [32]:

\[
R^2 = \frac{\sum (q_{e,meas} - q_{e,calc})^2}{\sum (q_{e,meas} - q_{e,calc})^2 + (q_{e,meas} - q_{e,calc})^2}, \quad (17)
\]

\[
\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(q_{e,calc} - q_{e,meas})^2}{q_{e,meas}}, \quad (18)
\]

\(q_{e,meas}\) is the measured adsorbate concentration at equilibrium (mg/g), \(q_{e,calc}\) is the calculated adsorbate concentration at equilibrium (mg/g), \(N\) is the number of data points in the experiment. Smaller values of \(\chi^2\) and higher values of \(R^2\) indicate better model fitting and better correlation between the experimental and calculated data with the model equation [32].

**Characterization of LCS.** It was previously shown, that the typical composition of WS and AS is \(\alpha\)-cellulose (25—35%), hemicellulose (25—30%), and lignin (30—40%), which percentages depend on the climate and growing conditions [12].

An Effective Biosorbent Derived from Production Waste for Water Treatment: Studying the Adsorption
Also, both materials contain minor components, such as extractives (about 1–3%) and ash (up to 5%) [36]. Studied feedstock contained below-average content of hemicellulose and lignin, which eased its conversion into sorbent (Table 2).

Due to the presence of lignin and cellulose in structure, LCS contains various surface groups that can interact with dye molecules. The presence of these surface groups in the structure of LCS was studied by FTIR spectroscopy (Fig. 1). The FTIR spectra of the raw WS+AS (Fig. 1, a) display bonds and peaks, assigned to functional groups of natural polysaccharide compounds (Table 3) [22].

Comparing the spectra of WS+AS and LCS it can be noticed that the intensity of peaks assigned to some characteristic functional groups was either minimized (vibrations of aromatic rings in lignin) or lightly shifted (stretching of –OH and –COOH groups in cellulose) after modifi-

| Table 2. Chemical Composition Raw Feedstock (WS+AS) and Modified Sorbent (LCS) |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Component                              | Content, %                             | Assignment                             |
|                                        | WS+AS                                 | LCS                                   |
| α-cellulose                            | 35 ± 1.0                               | 76 ± 1.0                               |
| Lignin                                 | 26.6 ± 0.5                             | 4.0 ± 0.5                              |
| Hemicelluloses                         | 20.5 ± 0.5                             | 2.5 ± 0.5                              |
| Ash                                    | 4.9 ± 0.5                              | 7.0 ± 0.5                              |
| Extractives                           | 1.2 ± 0.1                              | 0.4 ± 0.1                              |
| Moisture                               | 11.8 ± 0.5                             | 10.1 ± 0.5                             |

Table 3. Summary of the FTIR Spectra of WS+AS and LCS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorption band, cm⁻¹</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WS+AS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>499; 538</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>468; 520</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1012</td>
<td>1032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>1103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>1153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1204</td>
<td>1226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1296–1487</td>
<td>1320–1502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1571</td>
<td>1592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1712</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>1849–2352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2894</td>
<td>2893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3342</td>
<td>3352</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Skeletal deformation of aromatic rings in lignin
- –OCH₃ groups in lignin; C–O stretching in polysaccharides
- P–O–H vibrations in phosphorous ether groups
- P=O vibrations in phosphorous ether groups
- –OH bend in cellulosic alcohol groups
- C=C aromatic and –OH phenolic stretching in lignin
- C=O stretching in –COOH groups
- C=O stretching in non-conjugated ketones groups
- O–H–O vibrations in hydrogen bonds of (NH₄)₂CO·H₃PO₄
- C–H bonds in –CH₃ and –CH₂ groups of cellulose
- Stretching of –OH groups in polysaccharides

Fig. 1. FTIR spectra: a — raw WS+AS; b — LCS
cation. It is caused by a significant decrease in lignin content due to delignification by $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ and the degradation of hemicelluloses during acid treatment.

Fig. 1, b shows that in the spectrum of LCS, where the broadband in range of 1849–2352 cm$^{-1}$ emerged, corresponding to the vibrations in hydrogen bonds of $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CO}\cdot\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$ [37]. Incorporation of phosphorus-containing groups into the cellulose matrix also leads to the appearance of peaks at 1103 and 1153 cm$^{-1}$, corresponding to vibrations of $\text{P}–\text{O}–\text{H}$ and $\text{P}=\text{O}$ groups [10]. Thus, LCS was impregnated by phosphorus ether groups $\text{–OPO(OH)}_2$.

These observations indicate that in dyestuff adsorption mechanism may be involved the electrostatic interactions between the negatively charged surface groups of the LCS (as a result of dissociation of phosphorus ether groups) and the cationic dyes, as well as hydrogen bonding (lignocellulosic and phosphorus ether $\text{–OH}–\text{non-ionic dye}$).

According to the above-mentioned conclusions, as well as previously published data [38], the possible mechanism of phosphorylation of cellulose derived from WS+AS in the presence of urea in an aqueous medium may be presented as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} &\rightarrow \text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{OH}_2^+ + 2\text{OH}^- \\
\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{OH}_2^+ + \text{NH}_4\text{CO(NO}_2)_2 &\rightarrow \text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{ONH}_4\text{CO(NO}_2)_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}
\end{align*}
\]

Under these conditions, the formation of compound $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CO}\cdot\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$, which incorporates in the structure of cellulose, seems highly possible [39]. The only by-product of LCS production is aqueous ammonia with dissolved organic components of original feedstock (mainly lignin and hemicellulose). Whereas this solution contains roughly 15% N and 10% P, it should be considered a liquid fertilizer.

**Adsorption isotherms.** The sorption isotherms are presented in Fig. 2.

The isotherm constants of the dyestuff adsorption process onto LCS are listed in Table 4.

For all dyes the values of the $1/n$ are lesser than one, while the values of $R_L$ lie between 0 and 1, suggesting favorable sorption [18]. Because of the values of the $R^2$ and $\chi^2$, experimental data of anionic dyes sorption onto LCS were more appropriate to the Freundlich isotherm model, whereas the Langmuir isotherm gives a better fit for the cationic dyes. Probably, it might have been explained by a different charge of dyes molecules. Adsorption of negative charged dyes molecules (MO, AR, EY) onto LCS takes place with the formation of multilayers and even filling of the pores, whereas electrostatic interactions between surface groups of LCS and positively-charged dyes molecules (NR, MB) leads to monolayer sorption. The values of the $K_L$ for NR and MB are higher than those for MO, AR, EY, and AY, suggesting a stronger binding between cationic dyes and the LCS surface. In the case of MO, AR, EY, and AY, the lower values of the binding constant could also be explained by decreasing diffusion of relatively large dye molecules into the pores of LCS.

According to the Temkin model [12], there is a linear decrease in the heat of adsorption of the
molecules in the layer, due to the adsorbate-adsorbate interactions. The good fitting of the Temkin isotherm to experimental data ($R^2 > 0.97$) indicates the strong interaction between the cationic dyes molecules and LCS. Temkin model predicts a uniform distribution of binding energies over the quantity of surface binding adsorption sites, wherein the range and distribution should depend strongly on the density and distribution of functional groups both on the dye and LCS surfaces [3]. The equilibrium binding constant increased from 1.848 to 8.349 l/g within the following sequence $AY < EY < AR < MO < NR < MB$. The Temkin model is an appropriate model for the sorption based on strong electrostatic interactions between opposite charges [14]. This suggests that the uptake heat of the adsorbate dye molecules in the absorbed layer decreases as positive charge per molecule within the above-indicated row goes down.

As can be seen from Table 4, the $q_e$ values according to the D-R equation is insignificantly higher than those estimated with the use of the Langmuir model. Similar results have been reported by other authors [10; 40] and can be attributed to the fact that the D-R isotherm considers a pore-filling mechanism. According to [18], values of mean free energy of sorption, $E$, less than 8 kJ/mol are associated with physical sorption, whereas values between 8 and 16 kJ/mol indicate an ion-exchange mechanism of sorption. Thereby, the uptake of cationic dyes onto LCS is generally caused by weaker physical interactions, such as electro-dipole interactions, whereas the intake of $EY$, $AR$, and $MO$ possibly occurring due to ion exchange binding. Presumably, the removal of $AY$
was caused by weak hydrogen bonds. The low values of the $R^2$ indicate the unsuitability of the D-R isotherm model for cationic dyes, proving that uptake of these dyes does not occur within the pores of LCS.

**Adsorption kinetics.** The effect of contact time on LCS dye removal is presented in Fig. 3. Balance achievement increases rapidly with contact time in the first 30 minutes, after which the rate of sorption decreases. For practical purposes, the equilibrium is considered to have been reached within 1 hour. The kinetic data summarized in Table 5.

Correlation coefficients of the pseudo-first-order model are lower than those of the pseudo-second model. Furthermore, the $\chi^2$ values are higher in the case of pseudo-first-order kinetics compared to those obtained for pseudo-second-order. Furthermore, the $q_e$ values calculated by equation (8) do not correspond to experimental values ($q_{e,Exp}$). Ipso facto, the pseudo-first-order kinetic model does not adequately describe the adsorption of dyes onto LCS. High values of $R^2$ and good correspondence between calculated and experimental values in the case of cationic and anionic dyes confirm that the speed of the sorption process is correctly described by pseudo-second-order equation (9). Similar results have recently been shown in [1; 23].

The maximum value of $R^2$ and the lowest $\chi^2$ for AY suggest that adsorption of nonionic dye in LCS is a diffusion mass transfer process. Therefore, unlike cationic and anionic dyes, AY adsorption occurs within pores of the LCS particles and is limited by diffusion.

On the other hand, high correlation coefficients of the Elovich equation in the case of anionic dyes revealed that their adsorption in LCS was an ion exchange reaction [27]. Therefore, adsorption of MO, AR, and EY in LCS can occur through interaction between functional groups of sorbent and dye, for example:

$$\text{LCS—OPO(OH)—O}^{-} \text{H}^{+} + \text{Na}^{+} \text{[dye—]} \leftrightarrow$$

$$\leftrightarrow \text{LCS—OPO(OH)—ONa[dye] + H}^{+}. \quad (19)$$

According to the experimental data in Table 5, the intersections of all dyes were not zero (linear plots did not pass through the origins), indicating external diffusion as a speed-controlling step in the early stages of sorption [41]. Therefore, we suggest that the adsorption rate could be controlled by diffusion of the film (except AY). Adsorption of cationic and anionic dyes in LCS is limited by external diffusion, while intraparticle diffusion is also involved, but is not the rate-limiting step. Similar results have been previously reported in [11; 42].

**Thermodynamics studies.** The thermodynamic parameters $\Delta H^0$ and $\Delta S^0$ were estimated by plotting $\ln q_e/C_e$ versus $1/T$ and summarized in Table 6. At all temperatures, $\Delta G^0$ values are negative and decrease with increasing temperature, indicating that the adsorption of cationic dyes in LCS is spontaneous and thermodynamically feasible [43]. As demonstrated in [18], $\Delta G^0$ values down to $-20 \text{ kJ/mol}$ correspond to physical sorption (van der Waals forces, hydrogen bonds, electrostatic interactions), while $\Delta G^0$ values are more negatives than $40 \text{ kJ/mol}$ indicates the formation of coordinated bonds (chemical sorption). As shown in Table 4, the general dispersion of $\Delta G^0$ values suggests that physical sorption is the predominant adsorption mechanism for each class of dyes. Similar results have been reported in previous studies on WS-based sorbents [9; 21; 22; 27; 41].

Positive values of $\Delta H^0$ indicate the endothermic nature of adsorption, which is in good agree-
ment with a decrease in $\Delta G^0$ with increasing temperature. Relatively low values (1.1 to 3.3 kJ/mol) corroborate that the sorption process occurs by a combination of physical hydrogen bonds and van der Waals forces (in the case of anionic and non-ionic dyes) and electrostatic attractions (in case of cationic dyes).

A direct correlation was found between the $\Delta H^0$ values and the molar mass of the dyes. Experimental data reveals an increasing trend of $\Delta H^0$ in the following sequence EY>MO>AR>->MB>NR>AY.

The positive value of $\Delta S^0$ for each dye characterizes an increase in randomness at the solid-liquid interface during sorption [32]. The increase in the degree of freedom of the adsorbed dye consecutively reduces EY>AY>AR>NR>->MO>MB, which could be caused by the degree of complexity of the dye molecule (see Table 1). Therefore, the presence of such functional groups, such as $-\text{NO}_2$ or $-\text{NH}_2$, considerably enhances the interaction of the dye with the LCS surface.

Table 6. Thermodynamic Parameters for the Adsorption of Dyes onto LCS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dye</th>
<th>Temperature, K</th>
<th>$\Delta H_x$, kJ/mol</th>
<th>$\Delta G_x$, kJ/mol</th>
<th>$\Delta H^0$, kJ/mol</th>
<th>$\Delta S^0$, J/(mol·K)</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EY</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>-21.62</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>81.11</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>1.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>298</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>-20.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>323</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>-20.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>-17.68</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>67.97</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>1.265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>298</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>-17.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>323</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>-16.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>-17.61</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>19.57</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>1.235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>298</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>-17.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>323</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>-16.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AY</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>-21.22</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>74.19</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>3.232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>298</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>-18.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>323</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>-19.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>-2.98</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>13.36</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>298</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>-1.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>323</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>-4.43</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>67.80</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>298</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>-2.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>323</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Positive $\Delta H_x$ values (see Table 6) confirm the endothermic nature of sorption and correspond to physical adsorption. As a result, isosteric sorption heat of all dyes increases with growing temperature. The following tendency of $\Delta H_x$ values was observed: MB>NR>AR>MO>EY>AY. The highest $\Delta H_x$ values were obtained for the adsorption of cationic dyes due to the interaction of phosphorous ether groups on the surface of LCS with MB and NR. Respectively, there was less intense interaction between LCS and the negatively or neutrally charged dye molecules.

Upon the appearance of hydrophobic groups in dye molecules (for example, AR, MO) and LCS, a noticeable change in positive entropy occurs, which indicates the release of water molecules during the interaction between the dye and sorbent during the association at the phase boundary. The high degree of hydrophobization of LCS, achieved by phosphorylation of its cellulose base, enhances the positive entropy effect and leads to endothermic adsorption effect.

At the same time, all these effects are weakened when the dyestuff molecules charge changes, and N=N bonds appear in it due to the predominance of hydrogen bonds in the adsorption mechanism of monoazo dyes onto LCS. The increase in the number of condensed aromatic rings in the molecules of anthraquinone and xanthene dyes leads to the same result.

The ratio of enthalpy and entropy change in the processes of dyes adsorption onto LCS follows a general pattern. It was found that thermodynamic characteristics of dyestuff adsorption and an increase in the molecular weight of synthetic dyes are interrelated.

Table 7 provides a comparison of the performance of different types of WS and AS-based lignocellulosic sorbents for cationic and anionic dyes removal from water.

The results of the present study indicate that LCS has an adsorption capacity comparable to previously developed green sorbents. Production of LCS allows utilizing the large-tonnage waste, being, thus, of current interest for Ukraine. Ad-
ditionally, the production of LCS enables the use of secondary effluents as liquid fertilizer.

A sustainable method developed for the treatment of lignocellulosic waste, involving delignification and phosphorylation, provides LSC with phosphorus-ether surface groups. Preliminary characterization of LCS as a sorbent has been completed and MB, NR, MO, AR, EY, and AY have been successfully removed from aqueous solutions in batch mode. The elimination of cationic and anionic dyes by LCS is a thermodynamically feasible, spontaneous, and endothermic process, which corresponds to the pseudo-second-order kinetic equation of rate and occurs mainly due to physical sorption. The adsorption of cationic dyes occurs via electrostatic interactions, while anionic and non-ionic dyes are adsorbed on the LCS by hydrogen bonding and Van der Waals forces. At an initial concentration of 1 mmol/L, the maximum adsorption capacities for MB, NR, MO, AR, EY, and AY were determined as, mg/g: 26.5; 31.5; 22.3; 23.6; 19.6, and 4.08, respectively. FTIR study has demonstrated the presence of phosphorous ether functional groups on the surface of LCS, which are responsible for dye's adsorption.

Current work has indicated that LCS can be successfully utilized as a green sorbent for the cationic, and anionic dyes removal from water. Experimental results have characterized LCS as an effective sorbent for the water treatment and encourage further studies on the applicability of LCS for removal of metal ions from industrial effluents.

### Table 7. Adsorption Capacities of Sorbents Derived from WS and AS towards Various Dyes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dye</th>
<th>Commercial name</th>
<th>Class (series)</th>
<th>Sorbent</th>
<th>Basis</th>
<th>Modifying agent</th>
<th>$q_m$, mg/g</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reactive brilliant red K-2BP</td>
<td>Reactive brilliant red</td>
<td>Anionic acid (monoazo)</td>
<td>WS</td>
<td>Epichlorohydrin; diethylenetriamine</td>
<td>568.18</td>
<td>[27]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive brilliant blue</td>
<td>Anionic acid (anthraquinone)</td>
<td>WS</td>
<td>Epichlorohydrin; aspartic acid</td>
<td>224.42</td>
<td>[9]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid green 25</td>
<td>Acid green 25</td>
<td>Anionic acid (anthraquinone)</td>
<td>WS</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>[43]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid red 183</td>
<td>Acid red 183</td>
<td>Anionic acid (monoazo)</td>
<td>WS</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>[43]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid red 97</td>
<td>Acid red 97</td>
<td>Anionic acid (disazo)</td>
<td>WS</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>29.15</td>
<td>[40]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violet B</td>
<td>Violet B</td>
<td>Anionic acid (disazo)</td>
<td>WS</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>[16]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murexide</td>
<td>Murexide</td>
<td>Anionic acid (azomethine)</td>
<td>WS</td>
<td>CH$_3$COOH</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo red</td>
<td>Congo red</td>
<td>Anionic amphoteric (disazo)</td>
<td>WS</td>
<td>H$_3$PO$_4$</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>[10]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhodamine B</td>
<td>Cationic amphoteric (xanthene)</td>
<td>WS</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>[7]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxilon red GRL</td>
<td>Maxilon red GRL</td>
<td>Cationic basic (monoazo)</td>
<td>WS</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>58.21</td>
<td>[42]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malachite green</td>
<td>Malachite green</td>
<td>Cationic basic (triphenylmethane)</td>
<td>WS</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>[41]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl violet</td>
<td>Methyl violet</td>
<td>Cationic basic (triphenylmethane)</td>
<td>WS</td>
<td>CH$_3$COOH</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylene blue</td>
<td>Methylene blue</td>
<td>Cationic basic (thiazine)</td>
<td>WS</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>51.55</td>
<td>[21]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylene blue</td>
<td>Methylene blue</td>
<td>Cationic basic (thiazine)</td>
<td>WS</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>178.9</td>
<td>[22]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylene blue</td>
<td>Methylene blue</td>
<td>Cationic basic (thiazine)</td>
<td>WS</td>
<td>CH$_3$COOH</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylene blue</td>
<td>Methylene blue</td>
<td>Cationic basic (thiazine)</td>
<td>WS</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>95.24</td>
<td>[11]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylene blue</td>
<td>Methylene blue</td>
<td>Cationic basic (thiazine)</td>
<td>WS</td>
<td>KOH</td>
<td>33.67</td>
<td>[23]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylene blue</td>
<td>Methylene blue</td>
<td>Cationic basic (thiazine)</td>
<td>WS</td>
<td>H$_3$PO$_4$; CO(NH$_2$)$_2$</td>
<td>47.01</td>
<td>Present study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral red</td>
<td>Neutral red</td>
<td>Cationic basic (azine)</td>
<td>WS</td>
<td>H$_3$PO$_4$; CO(NH$_2$)$_2$</td>
<td>53.29</td>
<td>Present study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl orange</td>
<td>Methyl orange</td>
<td>Anionic acid (monoazo)</td>
<td>WS</td>
<td>H$_3$PO$_4$; CO(NH$_2$)$_2$</td>
<td>22.22</td>
<td>Present study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosin Y</td>
<td>Eosin Y</td>
<td>Anionic acid (xanthene)</td>
<td>WS</td>
<td>H$_3$PO$_4$; CO(NH$_2$)$_2$</td>
<td>33.01</td>
<td>Present study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alizarin red S</td>
<td>Alizarin red S</td>
<td>Anionic acid (anthraquinone)</td>
<td>WS</td>
<td>H$_3$PO$_4$; CO(NH$_2$)$_2$</td>
<td>36.99</td>
<td>Present study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aniline yellow</td>
<td>Aniline yellow</td>
<td>Non-ionic basic (monoazo)</td>
<td>WS</td>
<td>H$_3$PO$_4$; CO(NH$_2$)$_2$</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>Present study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ЕФЕКТИВНИЙ БІОСОРБЕНТ ДЛЯ ОЧИЩЕННЯ ВОДИ, ОТРИМАНІЙ З ВИРОБНО-ТЕХНОЛОГІЧНИХ ВІДХОДІВ:
ДОСЛІДЖЕННЯ АДСОРБЦІЇ СИНТЕТИЧНИХ ФАРБНИКІВ

Вступ. Ефективна утилізація харчових відходів, зокрема горіхової шкаралупи та плодових кісточок, є важливим
питанням забезпечення сталого природокористування. Ця вторинна сировина є джерелом цінних полімерних матеріалів — целюлози та лігніну.

Проблематика. Враховуючи потужності харчової промисловості України та кількість накопичених відходів, розвиток технологій переробки біомаси є важливою науково-практичною проблемою.

Мета. Дослідження адсорбційних властивостей хімічно-модифікованого біосорбенту на основі рослинної сировини щодо різних класів синтетичних барвників. Оцінка доцільності виробництва біосорбенту та ефективності його застосування у очистці води.

Матеріали та методи. Лігноцелюлозний сорбент (ЛЦС) було синтезовано з недеревної сировини шляхом хімічної модифікації з використанням фосфорної кислоти з додаванням сечовини у водному середовищі. Інфрачервона спектроскопія Фур’є та стандартні методи аналізу рослинної сировини використано для визначення фізико-хімічних характеристик ЛЦС. Досліджено адсорбцію аніонних (метилоранж, алізариновий червоний S, еозин Y), катіонних (метиленовий синій, нейтральний червоний) та неіоногенних (аніліновий жовтий) барвників на ЛЦС з водного розчину.

Результати. Адсорбційна ємність ЛЦС щодо катіонних барвників (47,0—53,3 мг/г) вища, ніж для аніонних (22,2—36,9 мг/г) та неіоногених (4,7 мг/г). Кінетика адсорбції адекватно описується рівнянням псевдодругого порядку. Адсорбція всіх класів барвників є термодинамічно можливою, спонтанним ендотермічним процесом. Рідкий побічний продукт виробництва містить 15% Нітрогену і 10% Фосфору, тому його можна використовувати як комплексне мінеральне добриво.

Висновки. Запропонований спосіб переробки харчових відходів передбачає отримання ефективного сорбенту та рідкого NР-добрива. Біосорбент видаляє з води катіонні та аніонні полютанти, тому його можна розглядати як перспективний біосорбент для очистки води.

Ключові слова: целюлоза, синтетичні барвники, адсорбція, кінетика, термодинаміка, шкаралупа волоського горіха, абразивні кісточки, переробка відходів.